# SURGICAL COMPLICATIONS OF GASTRO-INTESTINAL TRACT IN PREGNANCY

by

K. SANKARI, M.D., F.R.C.O.G.

Gastro-intestinal complaints are made frequently during pregnancy. Many patients are seen daily by the obstetrician complaining of nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps, distension, constipation and pelvic pain. The patient accepts the symptoms as normal discomforts of pregnancy. Thus a delay in proper diagnosis can often be attributed to both the physician and the patient.

## Material

During the 12 year period from 1955 to 1966, there were 12,010 deliveries in Dr. Balabhai Nanavati Hos-

TABLE I
Gastro-intestinal complications

		No. of cases	No. operated upon.
Appendicitis		6	6
Intestinal obstruction			
(a) Small intestine ob-			
struction	* *	3	I
(b) Volvulous of sigmoi	d	I	I
Acute pancreatitis		2	
Acute cholecystitis		I	'
Ulcerative colitis		2	I
Total		15	9

Hon. Gynaecologist and Obstetrician, Dept. of Gynec. and Obst., Nanavati Hospital, Bombay 56.

Paper read at the 14th All-India Obstetric and Gynaecological Congress held at Nagpur on 26/28th November 1967.

Gastro-intestinal complaints are pital, Bombay. There were 15 cases who had gastro-intestinal complications patients are seen daily by the stetrician complaining of nausea, miting, abdominal cramps, disten-

# Acute Appendicitis

There were 6 cases of acute appendicitis complicating pregnancy, an incidence of 0.05 per cent of all pregnancies, which is comparable with that cited in previous reports. Hoffman and Suzuki (1949), reported a 0.1 per cent, while Priddle and Hasseltine (1951), reported 0.043 per cent of all pregnancies. Schelpert (1961), has reported 0.082 per cent in 60,734 deliveries. From these reports it is evident that pregnancy does not predispose to appendicitis.

Careful history taking revealed nausea with or without vomiting. In the first and second trimesters of pregnancy, pain usually began in the mid-umbilical area, later progressing to the right flank. Similar observations have been made by McCorriston (1963). In the last trimester, however, the pain frequently began in the right flank area, as was observed by West (1960).

Table II shows the details of the cases treated. The temperature ranged between 90 to 102°F. The white blood cell counts ranged between 11,000 to 22,000 per c. mm. In

that pregnancy by itself has no deleterious effect on the disease.

# Acknowledgement

I wish to record my thanks to Dr. R. Dutta Chaudhuri, Superintendent of Chittaranjan Cancer Hospital for his permission to use the hospital records. I express my gratitude to Dr. S. Ghosh and Mr. R. P. Ghosh for their help in preparing this paper.

#### References

1. Graham, J. B., Sotto, L. S. J. and Paloucek, F. P.: Carcinoma of the

- Cervix, Philadelphia, 1962, Saunders, p. 211.
- Kottmeier, H. L.: Carcinoma of the Female Genitalia, Baltimore, 1953, William & Wilkins p. 148.
- Kottmeier, H. L.: Clin. Obst. & Gynec. 6: 975, 1963.
- Sadugor, M. G., Palmer, J. P. and Reinhard, M. C.: Am. J. Obst. & Gynec. 57: 933, 1949.
- Smith, I. H., Mackay, E. N. and Sellars, A. H.: Canad. Med. Ass. J. 84: 351, 1961.
- Stone, L. M., Weingold, A. B. and Sall, S.: Am. J. Obst. & Gynec. 93: 479, 1965.

the first trimester the area of maximum tenderness was at McBurney's point, and later they complained of severe pain in the right middle third of the abdomen. Localised tenderness was present in 2 cases.

Intestinal Obstruction

There were 4 cases of intestinal obstruction. The details are given in Table III.

One case was due to adhesive bands resulting from a previous

TABLE II

Details of the cases of acute appendicitis

		1	2	3	4	5	6
Age		31	28	26	22	27	32
Parity		III	II	I	I	II	IV
Stage of pregnancy		14 weeks	28 weeks	30 weeks	20 weeks	8 weeks	12 weeks
Past history		Previous history of pain in right iliac fossa					history of attack of appendi- citis year ago.
Time Delay		24 hours	20 hours	18 hours	48 hours	24 hours	20 hours
Hospital Delay		No delay	4 hours	No delay	4 hours	8 hours	No delay
Operation		Appendix	Appendix	Appendix	Slightly	Appendix	Perforated
Findings		perforated	inflamed	perforated	inflamed	hyperemic	Appendix
Outcome of pregnan	icy	Aborted	Went to term	Premature delivery	Pregnancy went to term	Pregnancy went to term	Aborted

In all cases right paramedian incision was made. In one case the incision was extended well above the umbilicus. This incision appeared adequate. Three patients had perforated appendix. The post-operative course was uneventful. The stump was buried in 5 cases. They all received antibiotics, gastric suction and intravenous fluids. There were no wound infections or wound dehiscences. There was some correlation between the intra-abdominal disease and the foetal outcome. All 3 with localised appendicitis went to term and delivered normally. All the 3 cases with perforations aborted within 48 hours.

operation for appendicectomy. It revealed a gangrenous loop of ileum about 5 feet from the caecum. Partial resection of ileum with end to end anastomosis was done. The patient died after 18 hours. Two other patients were treated conservatively with gastric suction, intravenous fluids and Antepar. They passed 18 and 22 worms respectively. They were discharged in a fit state and pregnancy went to term.

The fourth case came in with sudden acute pain in the lower abdomen with vomiting. The lower abdomen was tense and tender. The uterus was enlarged to 16 weeks' size. Her general condition was good. Under

TABLE III

Details of cases of intestinal obstruction

	1	2	3	4
Age	35	29	32	24
Parity	IV	III	I	II
Stage of pregnancy	16 weeks	8 weeks	30 weeks	14 weeks
Past history	History of laparotomy	History of ectopic	History of vomiting	• • • •
	for appendicitis	pregnancy	round worms on the morning of admission	
Lesion	Strangulation	n Round worms	Round worm	Volvulus of
	of ileum due to bands	obstruction	obstruction	sigmoid
Time delay	72 hours	24 hours	36 weeks	8 hours
Operation		Resection of Conservative line of treatment+ strangulated Antepar		
	gut	Passed 18 worms	Passed 22 worms	
Outcome of mother	Died	Alive and well	Alive and well	Alive and well
Outcome of foetus		Alive	Alive	Alive

observation, the pain, abdominal distension and pulse rate increased. Enema was given with no result. Laparotomy revealed volvulus of the sigmoid. Derotation of the sigmoid was done and the colour returned to normal. The patient recovered completely and delivered normally at term

When pain awakens a patient or is severe enough to require narcotics for its relief, a definite cause for it must be found. In round worm obstruction, only conservative line of treatment should be done. There is no single sign which is pathognomonic of strangulation. Constant pain, especially of sudden onset (Evans and Bigger 1947) or tenderness suggested the diagnosis.

#### Acute Pancreatitis

There were 2 patients who came with acute pain in epigastrium, vomit-

ing and shock. These patients were treated medically with gastric suction, attention to electrolyte imbalance, relief of pain and treatment of shock. Their serum amylase was 600 and 800 units respectively. Unfortunately, one patient died undelivered within 24 hours. The other patient recovered and delivered at term. Conservative treatment only should be done.

# Biliary Tract Disease

A 6th para came with signs and symptoms of acute cholecystitis in the 24th week of pregnancy. The attack subsided with rest, low fat diet and pain releiving drugs. She delivered normally at term. Later on she had a cholecystectomy done.

Medical management during pregnancy is advised unless attacks are recurrent or there is empyema or threatened rupture of gall bladder or common bile duct obstruction.

### Ulcerative Colitis

Ulcerative colitis does not affect the course of pregnancy or the foetal prognosis, but pregnancy is a calculated risk for a woman with the There was one case of disease. ulcerative colitis which was in a quiescent phase. She went to term and delivered normally.

The second case was seen during the acute phase. A 4th para, aged 34 years, was admitted as an emergency case with history of 5 months' amenorrhoea and severe diarrhoea for 20 days. She had 3-5 vomits per day. She complained of pain all over the abdomen. On examination she was markedly dehydrated. blood pressure was 130/80 mm. Hg., pulse rate 130 per minute and temperature 102°F. Abdomen was distended and coils of intestines were visible (standing up). X-ray showed fluid levels suggesting subacute intestinal obstruction. Peristalsis was heard. She was treated conservatively with continuous gastric suction, intravenous fluids and antibiotics to control the infection. On the fourth day after admission she aborted. On the fifth day she became very toxic. Laparotomy was suggested with a view to relieve the subacute obstruction.

On opening the abdomen it was found that the caecum, ascending colon, transverse colon and descendmy and pelvic colostomy were done. was no evidence of amoebic dysen- access to the appendix.

tery or any other bacterial infection. The patient died 18 hours after the operation. Bhatt (1965) has reported a similar case.

#### Discussion

Pregnancy does not seem to be a predisposing factor in major complications of gastro-intestinal tract. Acute appendicitis and intestinal obstruction account for most of the com-The incidence of acute plications. appendicitis varies from 1 in 1000 to 1 in 1500.

The symptoms of appendicitis are the same as in the non-pregnant state. The abdominal pain and tenderness are likely to be higher and more lateral because of the elevation and displacement of the appendix. The inflamed appendix commonly ruptures if prompt surgical therapy is not instituted, walling off of the resultant peritonitis is hampered during the latter part of the pregnancy because the omentum is denied access to the appendicular area by the pregnant uterus. There is a marked deterioration in the prognosis of the mother and the foetus when operation is not performed within 24 hours after the onset of symptoms. Hence early surgical intervention should be employed in all suspected cases of appendicitis. A right paramedian incision is the best in that it can be easily extended upwards and downwards, the initial incision being made ing colon were gangrenous. Ileosto- with consideration of the maximum point of tenderness. In the second The whole of the gangrenous part of and third trimesters, a high muscle the large intestines was excised. splitting incision centered over the Pathological examination of the gut point of maximum tenderness, usualshowed ulcerative colitis. There ly at the level of umbilicus gives normally following an appendicecto- Morris (1965) has reported an inmy. If the incision is still not heal-tussusception of Meckel's diverticued, the second stage may be shorten- lum in pregnancy. Strangulation ed by the use of forceps. Only foetal of internal hernia has been reported distress should call for intervention. by Morton and Hibbard (1959) Extraperitoneal caesarean is prefer- while strangulated able to the usual lower segment hernia has also been described (Pen-

operation.

There was no case of perforated peptic or gastric ulcer in this series. reported by Hamlin and Palermino (1962) reported the first case of per- makes palpation difficult. wastage.

Intestinal obstruction during pregnancy is less frequent as compared to lett (1940), at Boston lying-in hospital, found 1 case of intestinal obstruc-

tion in 66,431 deliveries.

Adhesions, volvulus and intus-Meckel's diverticulum (Gay et al ber of cases, roentgen examination

Labour may be allowed to progress 1953), Svesko and Pisani (1960). diaphragmatic man, 1951).

Five cases of volvulus have been Clark (1960) found that of 118 (1966). The one common predisposwomen with peptic ulcer before preg- ing factor in all cases was a previous nancy, 44.8 per cent became symp- abdominal surgery with associated tom free during pregnancy, 43.4 per adhesions. Pre-opertive diagnosis of cent improved and only 11.8 per cent volvulus is seldom made from history failed to improve. Lindell and Tera alone. The overlying pregnant uterus A flat forated ulcer where both the mother plate of abdomen may show evidence and baby survived. They found a of obstruction on a basis of gaseous total of 14 cases in the literature. distension and fluid level. Repeated Munshi (1960) reported one case of non-productive or failed enemas perforation of peptic ulcer from should make one suspicious of ob-Prompt intervention struction. A barium enema is very on the establishment of the diag- helpful when the large bowel is innosis is the most important factor in volved. The characteristic mucosal minimising maternal and foetal pattern of twisting may be seen as the barium stops at the site of torsion (Whitakar 1958).

The diagnosis of acute pancreatitis acute appendicitis. Smith and Bart- is difficult. Serum amylase levels and peritoneal aspiration may assist diagnosis. Chakravorty and Harrison Lay (1963) expect serum amylase levels of 200-800 units in susception are common causes of in- cases of acute pancreatitis but in one testinal obstruction in pregnancy. of their own cases an immediate post-Hansen (1941) found that 25 per operative reading was normal. Apcent of patients with obstruction had pearance of fluid in the left costoa previous operation but Morris phrenic angle is said to suggest (1965) found 61 per cent. If an in-pancreatitis if pneumonia can be extussusception is found there is usual- cluded (Zollinger and Kinsly 1964). ly an initiating neoplasm (Baker et In as much as biliary disease is conal 1953), Chaffin et al 1937) or, comitant with pancreatitis in a numof biliary tract is advised post partum.

Acute ulcerative colitis is usually made worse by pregnancy, and especially by an unwanted preg-Till the disease becomes should quiescent, pregnancy If avoided. conception occurs during the active phase, then therapeutic abortion may be necessary depending upon the severity of the condition. In the chronic or quiescent phase there is a chance of its recrudescence, usually in the first trimester, or early puerperium. If this occurs, the usual therapy of blood transfusion, antibiotics and cortisone should be given.

# Summary and Conclusions

Acute appendicitis was the most common abdominal surgical emergency during pregnancy. The incidence of intestinal obstruction due to adhesions is increasing due probably to more laparotomies in young women. Sedatives should be withheld till the diagnosis is made. Diagnosis is difficult and ancillary tests may not help. Initial erect and supine x-ray may be taken. If obstruction is confirmed, laparotomy after rapid and adequate preparation of the patient is essential. Strangulation cannot be excluded prior to opera-There should be no doubt about intervening from the point of view of pregnancy. The risk of abortion or premature birth is completely secondary to peritonitis.

## Acknowledgements

My thanks are due to the consultant surgeons of the hospital who helped in the diagnosis and treatment of these patients and to the Superintendent for permission to use the hospital records.

### References

- Baker, A. H., Barnes, J. and Lister,
   U. G.: J. Obst. Gynec: Brit. Emp. 60: 52, 1953.
- Bassett, J. W.: Am. J. Obst. and Gynec. 82: 828, 1961.
- 3. Bhatt, R. V.: J. Obst. and Gynec. India, 15: 194, 1965.
- Chaffin, L., Mason, V. R. and Slemmons, J. R.: Surg. Gynec. Obst. 64: 811, 1937.
- Chakravorty, A. P. and Harrison— Levy, A.: Brit. J. Clin. Pract. 17: 131, 1963.
- 6. Clark (1960) in Guttmacher and Rovinsky (1960) p. 174.
- 7. Evans, E. I. and Bigger, I. A.: J.A.M.A. 133: 513, 1947.
- Gay, B. B. Jr., Leigh, T. F. and Rogers, J. V.: J. Med. Ass. Ga, 42, 15, 1953.
- Guttmacher, A. F. and Rovinsky, J. J.: Medical Surgical and Gynaecological Complications in Pregnancy, 1960, William Wilkins, Baltimore.
- Hamlin, C. H., Palermino, D. A.: Am. J. Obst. and Gynec. 94: 1147, 1966.
- 11. Hansen, F. A., J. Iowa, St. Med. Soc. 31: 237, 1941.
- 12. Hoffman, E. S. and Suzuki, M.: West. J. Surg. 57: 150, 1949.
- 13. Lindell, A. and Tera, H.: J. Obst. & Gynec. Brit. Comm. 69: 493, 1962.
- 14. McCorriston, C. C.: Am. J. Obst. and Gynec. 86: 593, 1963.
- Morris, E. D., J. Obst. & Gynec. Brit. Comm. 72: 36, 1965.
- 16. Morton, J. H. and Hibbard, L. T.: Obst. and Gynec. 14: 214, 1959.

- 17. Munshi, P. H.: J. Obst. and Gynec. India, 10: 372, 1960.
- 18. Penman, W. E.: West, J. Surg. 59: 622, 1951.
- Priddle, H. D. and Hesseltine, H.
   C.: Am. J. Obst. and Gynec. 62:
   150, 1951.
- Schelpert, J. W., III: New York J. Med. 61: 4032, 1961.
- Svesko, V. S. and Pisani, B. J.: Am.
   J. Obst. Gynec. 79: 157, 1960.
- 22. West, R. T.: Am. Surgeon 26: 425, 1960.
- Whitaker, W. G. Jr. and Howell,
   T. S. Jr.: Am. Surgeon 24: 753,
   1958.
- 24. Zollinger, R. M. and Kinsly, D. L.: Am. Surgeon, 30: 1, 1964.